Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports Online**

ISSN 1600-5368

Yingzhong Shen,^a Hans Pritzkow,^b Bernhard Walfort,^a Tobias Rüffer^a and Heinrich Lang^{a*}

^aTechnische Universität Chemnitz, Eakultät für Naturwissenschaften, Institut für Chemie, Lehrstuhl Anorganische Chemie. Straße der Nationen 62, 09111 Chemnitz, Germany, and ^bUniversität Heidelberg, Anorganischchemisches Institut, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: heinrich.lang@chemie.tu-chemnitz.de

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study $T = 173 K$ Mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.033 wR factor = 0.080 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

C 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

The title complex, (pentafluoropropionato){tris[2-(dimethyl $aminomethyl)phenyl|phosphine|silver(I),$ $P(C_6H_4CH_2N Me_2-2$)₃]Ag[OC(O)CF₂CF₃] or $[Ag(C_3F_5O_2)(C_{27}H_{36}N_3P)],$ possesses a tetracoordinated silver(I) ion, with silver in a distorted tetrahedral environment. In the solid state, two independent molecules are found in the asymmetric unit. The $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)$ ₃ group acts as a tripodal ligand.

Comment

 $Silver(I)$ carboxylates play an important role in the field of organic and organometallic synthesis (Housecroft, 1994), materials science (Schmidt et al., 2002) and, for example, in homogeneous catalysis (Takeishi et al., 1978). While the synthesis and reaction chemistry of such species is well understood, less is known about their molecular structure in solution and in the solid state (Lang et al., 1998). This is based on the fact that they are light-sensitive and often insoluble in most common organic solvents. Only recently, the solid-state structures of mononuclear silver (I) carboxylates $(A \text{ and } B)$ were reported.

In type A, the silver (I) ion is tricoordinated by one O of the trifluoromethanesulfonate anion, the P atom and one of the three $Me₂NCH₂$ side-arms of the $P(C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)$ ₃ ligand, forming a T-shaped structure with a linear $P - Ag - O$ arrangement (Lang et al., 1998). In structural type B molecules, the respective mononuclear $AgO₂CR$ moiety is stabilized by the chelating effect of the organometallic π -tweezer $[Ti](C=CSiMe₃)$, which gives rise to a planar environment around silver(I) (Lang et al., 1999).

To gain a deeper insight into structural aspects of $silver(I)$ carboxylates, we report here the solid-state structure of the silver(I) pentafluoropropionate, $[(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)_3P]AgO_2$ CC_2F_5 , (3), which is easily accessible by the reaction of Received 28 November 2003 Accepted 9 December 2003 Online 19 December 2003

Figure 1

The molecular structure of (3) (only one of the two symmetrically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit is shown) in the solid state, with the atom-labelling scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

aggregated $[AgO_2CC_2F_5]$, (1), with one equivalent of $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_3$, (2).

On prolonged exposure of (3) to light, it slowly decomposes to give elemental silver along with free $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_3$. The X-ray diffraction study confirms the composition of (3) found on the basis of elemental analysis, NMR $(^1H, ^{13}C, ^{11}H)$ and ^{31}P {1H}) and IR studies (Fig. 1).

In the asymmetric unit, two independent molecules (molecules $3a$ and $3b$) are present, which show identical metalligand dimensions.

The silver(I) ion in molecules $3a$ (Ag1) and $3b$ (Ag2) is tetracoordinated by a datively bound phosphorus (molecule 3a: P1; molecule 3b: P2) and two N atoms (3a: N1, N2; 3b: N4, N5) and one s-bound O atom (3a: O1; 3b: O3); one of the three Me₂NCH₂ units remains uncoordinated. However, in solution dynamic processes are observed for the three amino side chains (Lang et al., 1998). Due to this coordination mode, a distorted tetrahedral environment is found for Ag1 and Ag2 (Fig. 1).

The $Ag-P$, $Ag-O$ and $Ag-N$ separations are in the range of bond distances typical for this type of complex (Lang et al., 1998). Similar values are found in $\{[P(C_6H_4CH_2N Me₂-2)₃]AgOC(O)CF₃$ (type A molecule). The somewhat longer bond distance in A is attributed to the different coordination sphere around silver, and hence to the different electron density at Ag^I . The Ag1-N1, Ag1-N2, Ag2-N4 and $Ag-N5$ separations are between 2.4433 (16) and 2.4592 (15) Å, while in A, Ag–N dimensions of 2.478 (2) Å are found (Lang *et al.*, 1998). The $Ag-O$ bonds of 3a and 3b are longer than that typical for A [2.183 (2) \AA ; Lang *et al.*, 1998], which can be explained, as stated earlier, by the different coordination spheres around silver(I).

The differences in the coordination mode of the $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)$ ₃ ligand in (3) (P, N, N, O type) and in type A molecules (P, N, O type) can also be concluded from $3^{31}P$ {1H} NMR spectroscopic studies. The $3^{31}P$ {1H} NMR resonance signal for (3) is found at -30.0 p.p.m., while for A a signal at -31.9 p.p.m. is observed. This shift is typical of going from tetra- to tricoordinated silver (I) ions in silver-phosphane chemistry with $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_3$ as auxiliary phosphane ligand (Lang *et al.*, 1998). Due to the silver isotopes ¹⁰⁷Ag and $109\,\text{Ag}$, two doublets are observed, the coupling constants being $J^{107}Ag^{31}P = 578$ Hz and $J^{109}Ag^{31}P = 642$ Hz.

The interatomic bond distances and angles for the $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)$ ₃ and OCCF₂CF₃ ligands are as expected and are in agreement with the well known experimental data found for other complexes, e.g. molecules of type A (Lang et al., 1998).

As expected, the angles around the sp^2 -hybridized C atom C28 (3a) or C58 (3b) show a trigonal-planar environment. As found by IR spectroscopic studies, also in the solid state, only one of the two O atoms of the carboxylate group is σ -bound to the silver(I) ion. This is indicated by the Ag1 $-O2$ and Ag2 $-$ O4 distances, which are far out of range of typical $Ag-O$ bonding interactions (Bowmaker et al., 1995).

Experimental

 $P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_3$, (1) (0.88 g, 2.0 mmol), dissolved in 20 ml of dichloromethane, was added dropwise to $[AgO_2CC_2F_5]$, (2) (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol), suspended in 20 ml of dichloromethane, at 273 K. After 2 h of stirring at this temperature in the dark, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite. Evaporation of all volatile materials from the filtrate in an oil-pump vacuum afforded a brown solid. Crystallization from dichloromethane/n-pentane (ratio 1:1) at 298 K gave colourless crystals of (3). Yield: 2.69 g (8.27 mmol, 83% based on $[AgO_2CC_2F_5]$. M.p.: 439-441 K (decomposition). Elemental analysis calculated for $C_{30}H_{36}AgF_5N_3O_2P$ (704.47): C 51.15, H 5.15, N 5.96%; found: C 50.87, H 5.09, N 5.76%. IR (KBr) $(cm⁻¹)$: 3054 (w), 2940 (m), 2857 (m), 2816 (s), 2769 (s), 2707 (w), 1686 (vs) (CO, asym.), 1459 (s) (CO, sym.), 1404 (m), 1364 (m), 1324 (m) , 1207 (s) (CF₃, str.), 1165 (vs), 1025 (s), 965 (w), 841 (s), 813 (m), 755 (s), 733 (s), 620 (w), 501 (m), 462 (m). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.17 (s, 18H, CH₃), 3.35 (d, ²J_{HH} = 12.3 Hz, 3H, CH₂), 3.68 (d, ²J_{HH} = 12.3 Hz, 3H, CH₂), 6.83 (dd, ³J_{HH} = 7.93 Hz, ⁴J_{PH} = 7.93 Hz, 3H, C₆H₄), 7.15– 7.39 (m, 9H, C₆H₄). ¹³C {1H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 46.4 (CH₃), 65.2 (d, J_{PC} = 9.1 Hz, CH₂), 119.6 (qt, ² J_{FC} = 321.5 Hz, ³ J_{FC} = 52.6 Hz, CF₃), 108.3 (qt, ${}^{2}J_{\text{FC}}$ = 334.5 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{FC}}$ = 37.0 Hz, CF₂), 128.6 (d, J_{PC} = 4.2 Hz, C_6H_4), 130.4 (C_6H_4), 132.2 (d, J_{PC} = 20.1 Hz, C_6H_4), 133.1 (d, J_{PC} = 8.4 Hz, C₆H₄), 134.5 (C₆H₄), 142.20 (d, J_{PC} = 18.6 Hz, ⁱC/ C₆H₄), 168.52 (t, $J_{\text{FC}} = 24.3$, O₂CCF₂CF₃). ³¹P{1H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ -30.0 $(dd, {}^{1}J_{107Ag-P} = 578 \text{ Hz}, {}^{1}J_{109Ag-P} = 642 \text{ Hz}.$

Crystal data

Data collection

Refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (\AA) .

The H-atom positions have been taken from a difference Fourier map and refined freely, as were $U(H)$ values.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve

structure: $SHELX$ S97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

References

Bowmaker, G. A., Effendy, Hanna, J. V., Healy, P. C., Millar, G. J., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1995). J. Phys. Chem. 99, 3909-3917.

Bruker (2000). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Housecroft, C. E. (1994). Coord. Chem. Rev. 131, 1-34.

Lang, H., Koehler, K., Rheinwald, G., Zsolnai, L., Buechner, M., Driess, A., Huttner, G. & Straehle, J. (1999). Organometallics, 18, 598-605.

Lang, H., Leschke, M., Rheinwald, G. & Melter, M. (1998). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 1, 254-256.

Schmidt, H., Shen, Y., Leschke, M., Haase, Th., Kohse-Höinghaus, K., Lang, H. (2003). J. Organomet. Chem. 669, 25-31.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). SHELXTL and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Takeishi, M., Fujii, S., Niino, S. & Hayama, S. (1978). Tetrahedron Lett. 36, 3361±3364.